# Assessing Sample Matrix Effects

Carl C. Kircher, FL Department of Health



## NELAC Chapter 5, Appendix D.1.1.3

- The laboratory must document procedures for determining the effect of the sample matrix on method performance.
- These procedures are designed as data quality indicators for a specific sample using the designated test method.
- These procedures relate to the analysis of quality system matrix specific QC samples (matrix spikes, matrix spike duplicates, matrix duplicates, surrogate spikes)

### Quality System Matrices

- Aqueous (surface water, groundwater, effluents, TCLP extracts)
- Drinking Water (potable water or potential potable water source)
- Saline/Estuarine (ocean, estuary, inland saltwater lake)
- Biological Tissue (fish tissue, shellfish, plant matter, grouped according to origin
- Solids (soils, sediments, sludges, matrices with >15% settleable solids)
- Chemical Waste (product or by-product of industrial process)
- Air & Emissions (whole gas samples, vapors, or extracted concentrates from gases or vapors collected in sorbents, impingers, filters, etc.)

#### Questions

- Is DI water an adequate clean matrix for:
  - Saline/Estuarine?
  - Particular lakes, rivers, aquifers?
- Should separate QC criteria & frequency be devised for:
  - Sands?
  - Silts?
  - Clays?
  - Loams?
  - Sludges?
- Should test method SOP account for differing procedures for:
  - Tedlar bags?
  - SUMMA canisters?
  - TENAX or XAD cartridges?
  - Filter Particulates?
  - Impinger solutions?
  - Soxhlet Extraction / Sonication Extraction / Solid-Phase Extraction?

# Analysis of Sample Matrix Spikes & Matrix Duplicates

- Frequency of analysis is determined:
  - By systematic planning process
  - As specified in the test method
- Components to be spiked are:
  - Specified by the test method
  - Any permit-specified analytes, as specified by regulation
  - Client-requested analytes
  - All chemistries and elution patterns of components are represented
  - All targeted components included over a 2-year period
  - 100% 80% >16 components

## Common Laboratory Observations

- Clients provide insufficient sample (particularly, aqueous) to perform sample matrix spikes and/or matrix duplicates.
- Matrix spikes are really "blank spikes" or control samples.
- "Randomly-selected" samples for spikes are really from dedicated sources (playground sand, backyard monitoring wells).
- Test methods are treated as "guidance"; procedures are followed but QC is ignored.
- Analyzing sample matrix spikes and matrix duplicates are a lot or work that does not make money for the laboratory. The client won't pay for QC.

#### **Selection of Test Methods**

- The laboratory shall use methods for environmental testing which meet the needs of the client & are appropriate for the environmental tests it undertakes.
- Methods published in international, regional, or national standards shall preferably be used.
- When the use of specific methods are mandated (e.g., by regulation) or requested (e.g., by the client), only those methods shall be used.

## Review of Requests, Tenders, & Contracts

- The policies & procedures leading to a contract for environmental testing shall ensure that requirements, including methods to be used, are adequately defined, documented, & understood.
- The appropriate environmental test method is selected and capable of meeting the client's requirements.
- Any differences between the request or tender and the contract shall be resolved before any work commences. Each contract shall be acceptable both to the laboratory and the client.
- Records of reviews, including any significant changes, shall be maintained.
- The client shall be informed of any deviation from the contract.

#### **Other Considerations**

- The sample acceptance policy shall include the following areas of concern:
  - Adequate sample volume. Sufficient sample volume must be available to perform the necessary tests.
- Test reports shall, where necessary for the interpretation of the test results, include the following:
  - Deviations from, additions to, or exclusions from the test method.
  - Where quality system requirements are not met, a statement of compliance / noncompliance with requirements and/or specifications, including identification of test results derived from any sample that did not meet NELAC sample acceptance requirements.

#### Conclusions

- If the clients wants samples analyzed by EPA 8270, and the laboratory agrees, the laboratory is obligated to perform sample matrix spikes.
- Do not claim to be running any EPA Methods, such as 8270, when there is no intention on the part of the laboratory to perform sample matrix spikes.
- If the test method specifies that 10% of samples must be spiked and analyzed, then a matrix spike & matrix spike duplicate on the same sample in a 20-sample batch does NOT meet that requirement.

#### **Disclaimers**

- If matrix spikes are not performed, <u>ALL</u> of the following must be documented on file at the laboratory:
  - Laboratory records for the client must indicate choice of test method other than EPA 8270, or client-authorized deviation from EPA 8270 requirements.
  - Laboratory-authorized departure from NELAC quality system and test method SOP requirements.
  - Sample receipt records must document non-conformance with sample acceptance requirements, and document client authorization to proceed with analysis despite those shortcomings.
  - EPA 8270 analysis benchsheets must document departure from sample matrix spike requirements, when EPA 8270 is documented as the test method.
  - Test reports for ALL clients with samples within the affected batch must document departure from EPA 8270 requirements, with statement of test results being invalid for regulatory compliance as applicable.

# SO... WHAT DO YOU THINK?